Compliance & Ethics November 2016 Professional

13

14

15

A PUBLICATION OF THE SOCIETY OF CORPORATE COMPLIANCE AND ETHICS

12

www.corporatecompliance.org

12

46GB

146GB 15

5)

6>

16

Vice President, Associate General **Counsel & Chief Compliance Officer**

Meet Louis Sapirman

10

6

8

66

88888

34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43

Dun & Bradstreet

Short Hills. N 6 37 38 3

00402107

34 35 36 37 38 39 4

34 35

11

27 The critical role of effective communication in compliance Bill Dee

31 What ethics and compliance professionals can learn from the EEOC Tucker Miller

35

Inside the prosecutor's playbook: Assessing the FCPA enforcement pilot program Peter Anderson

43 A new anti-bribery management systems standard: **ISO 37001** Leslie Benton

This article, published in Compliance & Ethics Professional, appears here with permission from the Society of Corporate Compliance & Ethics. Call SCCE at +1 952 933 4977 or 888 277 4977 with reprint requests.

by Erica Salmon Byrne

Governance failure or something worse?

uch has been written about the allegations of significant and pervasive harassment that have been levelled at Roger Ailes and the culture he created at Fox News. There are a variety of culture lessons that can be learned from



exploring the information revealed by the internal investigation and the statements by various Fox employees as that information comes to light. In addition, there is a significant governance conversation to be had, and the basis of one piece of it comes from an article in the *Wall Street*

Salmon Byrne

Journal of the settlement paid out to long-time Fox employee Laurie Luhn.¹ That piece includes this line: "According to 21st Century Fox, the parent company of Fox News at that time—News Corp—was unaware of that settlement."

Unaware of that settlement. Let that sink in for a moment. Remember that this was a settlement paid to a long-term employee to resolve allegations of long-term harassment by the CEO. She was paid a little more than \$3 million. And by long term, I mean really long term—apparently she told the outside firm investigating the current set of allegations that she'd been harassed by Ailes for more than 20 years. And yet the parent company claims they were unaware; the subsidiary resolved the suit completely on its own.

There are at least two red flags here that should have immediately elevated this situation to the parent company level: the dollar figure involved and the seniority of the individual involved. Even if one gives Fox the benefit of the doubt and says for a company of its size a \$3 million settlement was not material, the fact that the CEO of the subsidiary was involved should have triggered immediate notification of the parent company and the board. Now, did that basic level of governance not exist? Or, and this appears more likely, did someone make a decision to protect Ailes, then the head of a tremendously profitable arm of the overall business? Either way, it is troubling. Either the company failed to put in place the most basic of notification processes or there was a fundamental failure of organizational justice.

"According to 21st Century Fox, the parent company of Fox News at that time— News Corp—was unaware of that settlement."

As a director, this should prompt a check of your organization's notification criteria. Are you confident you would be informed if something like this occurs at the organization you're responsible for overseeing? *

 Joe Flint, Sara Randazzo, and Jeffrey A. Trachtenberg: "21st Century Fox Anticipates Settlements in Roger Ailes Harassment Probe" *The Wall Street Journal*, August 11, 2016. Available at: http://bitly/21-century-fox

Erica Salmon Byrne (erica.salmonbyrne@ethisphere.com) is the Executive Vice President of The Ethisphere Institute. Qesalmonbyrne

41